

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,075	11/26/2003	Koichiro Tanaka	0756-7223	7829	
31780 7590 06/28/2010 Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
3975 Fair Ridge Drive Suite 20 North Fairfax, VA 22033			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/28/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,075	TANAKA, KOICHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
M. Alexandra Elve	3742		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ITION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	es: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the rith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the 	final rejection
	ry Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on with have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensio under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortes to fruit in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than imay reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance.	e with 37 CER 41 37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within t	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr	ior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further conside	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or 	rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sponding number of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Set	ee attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	_
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 	ble if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\square\) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffiwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered icient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noi entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and 	ome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	he status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe Applicant argues that the double patenting should be withdrax both drawn to laser irradiation and overlapping laser beams. however, rejections of the claims is sustained for the reasons.	wn. The examiner resepctfully disagrees because the claims are All arguments set forth in the instant after-final are well taken, set forth in the final office action.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO	/SB/08) Paper No(s)
13. Other:	
June 23, 2010.	/M. Alexandra Elve/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office